**IJABS Volume 4. Issue 2. (2025), ISSN: 2940-2735**

IJABS International Journal of Advanced Business Studies

**International Journal of Advanced Business Studies**
https://besra.net/index.php/ijabs/

*Full length article*

# Tobacco Insurance in Africa: Bridging the Reinsurance Gap in a High-Risk Agricultural Sector

**Agripah Marangwanda**
University of Zambia
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-0739-4986
*Corresponding e-mail: agripah.marangwanda@gmail.com

**Article Info**
Received: 03.02.2025
Accepted: 23.02.2025
Available online: 30.05.2025

**Keywords**:
Tobacco insurance, reinsurance, agricultural risk, ESG policies, parametric insurance, climate risk, Africa

**DOI**:
https://doi.org/10.59857/RWNW3553

**ABSTRACT**

Tobacco farming plays a vital role in Africa's agricultural economy, particularly in Zimbabwe, Malawi, and Tanzania, where it supports rural livelihoods and generates significant export earnings. However, the sector faces increasing risks from climate variability, pest infestations, and global market fluctuations. Despite the importance of agricultural insurance in mitigating these risks, specialized tobacco insurance remains underdeveloped due to high loss ratios, limited actuarial data, and the withdrawal of global reinsurers driven by Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) policies. This paper examines the reinsurance constraints affecting tobacco insurance in Africa and explores potential solutions, including regional risk pools, parametric insurance models, and government-backed reinsurance mechanisms. Findings highlight the urgent need for innovative risk-sharing strategies to enhance insurance coverage and financial sustainability for tobacco farmers. Strengthening local reinsurance capacity and leveraging technology-driven underwriting solutions could bridge the existing gap and ensure the resilience of the tobacco sector in Africa.

## 1. Introduction

### *1.1 Objective and Context of the Research*

Agriculture remains the backbone of many African economies, contributing significantly to GDP, employment, and rural livelihoods. However, the sector is highly vulnerable to a range of risks, including climate change, pest infestations, and fluctuating market prices. These risks threaten the stability of agricultural production and the financial security of farmers, making effective risk management strategies essential. One such strategy is agricultural insurance, which helps mitigate losses by providing financial compensation for unforeseen events. Despite its potential benefits, the adoption of agricultural insurance in Africa remains significantly low, with penetration rates averaging less than 5% in most countries.

Among the various agricultural sub-sectors, tobacco farming holds a strategic economic position in countries such as Zimbabwe, Malawi, and Tanzania. Tobacco is a major cash crop that supports millions of smallholder

farmers and contributes substantially to national export earnings. However, the sector faces unique risks that make it particularly challenging to insure. These include erratic weather patterns, susceptibility to pest infestations, and market volatility driven by shifting global regulatory frameworks and anti-smoking policies. Additionally, the increasing reluctance of global reinsurers to underwrite tobacco-related risks due to Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) concerns has further constrained the availability of specialized tobacco insurance. This paper aims to explore the challenges and opportunities associated with tobacco insurance in Africa, with a particular focus on the reinsurance gap. It examines the structural barriers that limit reinsurance capacity, including high loss ratios, limited actuarial data, and the withdrawal of major global reinsurers from the market. The study also evaluates potential solutions to bridge this gap, such as the establishment of regional risk pools, the adoption of parametric and index-based insurance models, and the role of government-backed reinsurance mechanisms. By addressing these issues, the paper seeks to contribute to the development of sustainable and scalable insurance models that can support tobacco farmers and ensure the resilience of Africa's tobacco industry.

### *1.2 The Role of Agricultural Insurance in Africa*

Agriculture remains the primary economic activity for most African countries, employing a large percentage of the population and contributing significantly to GDP (Easterly,2001). However, the sector is highly susceptible to multiple risks, including climate change-induced droughts and floods, pest and disease outbreaks, and unpredictable market conditions (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020). These risks frequently result in financial losses for farmers, reducing productivity and threatening food security(de Siqueira, Mtewa, & Fabriz 2022). Studies indicate that agricultural insurance is a critical tool for mitigating these risks, providing farmers with financial compensation that allows them to recover from losses and maintain production stability.

Despite its importance, agricultural insurance penetration remains extremely low across Africa (Easterly,2001). Studies indicate that less than 5% of farmers in Africa are covered by any form of agricultural insurance, a stark contrast to regions such as North America and Europe, where penetration rates exceed 50% (Mahul & Stutley, 2010). Several factors contribute to this low uptake, including the high cost of premiums, limited awareness of insurance products, and inadequate risk assessment infrastructure (Hazell et al., 2017). Traditional indemnity-based agricultural insurance models have also struggled due to moral hazard, adverse selection, and operational inefficiencies (Cole et al., 2013). These challenges have hindered the expansion of insurance coverage, leaving many farmers vulnerable to financial shocks (Greatrex et al., 2015).

To address these challenges, alternative risk financing mechanisms such as index-based insurance have gained attention (Bongaarts, 2021). These models use weather and satellite data to trigger payouts, reducing the need for costly field assessments and making insurance more affordable and accessible. Initiatives like the African Risk Capacity (ARC) and public-private partnerships have attempted to expand agricultural insurance across the continent, but challenges remain, particularly in insuring high-value cash crops such as tobacco (Easterly,2001). Given the increasing climate risks and the vulnerability of the agricultural sector, strengthening insurance mechanisms is critical to ensuring long-term agricultural sustainability in Africa (de Siqueira, Mtewa, & Fabriz 2022).

### 1.3 The Importance of Tobacco Farming in Africa.

Tobacco farming is one of the most economically significant agricultural activities in Africa, particularly in countries like Zimbabwe, Malawi, and Tanzania (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020).The crop provides employment for millions of farmers and contributes substantially to foreign exchange earnings (Easterly,2001). For example, in

Zimbabwe, tobacco accounted for over 60% of total agricultural exports in 2022, making it the country's largest agricultural export commodity. Similarly, Malawi derives more than 50% of its export revenue from tobacco sales, underscoring the crop's strategic role in economic development (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020).

However, tobacco farming is inherently risky due to several factors. First, it is highly susceptible to climatic conditions, with prolonged droughts, erratic rainfall, and extreme weather events posing significant threats to yields (Chidoko et al.,2012). Second, pest infestations, particularly from species such as *Heliothis virescens* (tobacco budworm), can lead to substantial losses if not effectively managed (Mazodze & Conlong, 2003). Third, the global decline in cigarette consumption, driven by stringent anti-smoking regulations and changing consumer preferences, has created market uncertainties that affect tobacco pricing and profitability (Travis et al., 2022). These combined factors create significant volatility in tobacco farming, necessitating robust risk management mechanisms.

These challenges highlight the need for specialized insurance solutions tailored to the unique risks faced by tobacco farmers (Mahul & Stutley, 2010). However, despite the clear necessity for risk mitigation, tobacco insurance remains largely underdeveloped in Africa (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020).Many insurers are reluctant to underwrite tobacco-related risks due to their high volatility, and global reinsurers have increasingly withdrawn from the market due to ESG considerations (Swiss Re, 2021). As a result, tobacco farmers face limited options for securing financial protection against losses, leaving them highly vulnerable to external shocks (Greatrex et al., 2015).

**1.4 The Need for Specialized Tobacco Insurance.**

The underdevelopment of tobacco insurance in Africa is primarily driven by three key challenges: high risk exposure, limited reinsurance capacity, and regulatory constraints (Mahul & Stutley, 2010). The inherent risks associated with tobacco farming—ranging from climatic hazards to pest outbreaks—make it a high-risk crop from an insurance perspective (Chidoko et al., 2012). Traditional indemnity-based insurance models struggle to provide adequate coverage due to high claim ratios and the difficulty of accurately assessing losses (Cole et al., 2013). In addition, the limited availability of actuarial data on tobacco farming further complicates underwriting processes, making it difficult for insurers to develop sustainable pricing models (Greatrex et al., 2015).

Reinsurance capacity is another major constraint. Reinsurance plays a crucial role in stabilizing primary insurance markets by absorbing risks that insurers cannot fully retain .However, global reinsurers such as Swiss Re and Munich Re have increasingly distanced themselves from tobacco-related risks due to ESG commitments, leaving African insurers with limited options for risk transfer (Edwards, Caravaca , Rappeport , & Sperduti,2024).This has resulted in high retention levels among local insurers, increasing their financial exposure and reducing their ability to offer affordable insurance products to tobacco farmers (Hazell et al., 2017).

Regulatory challenges also contribute to the limited availability of tobacco insurance. In some countries, government policies aimed at reducing tobacco production due to health concerns have inadvertently restricted insurance market development (Ellison et al., 2021).Moreover, the lack of supportive policies and incentives for agricultural insurance discourages private sector participation in the sector (IFAD & WFP, 2021). Given these constraints, there is an urgent need for innovative approaches to bridge the reinsurance gap in the tobacco insurance market (Greatrex et al., 2015).

Potential solutions include the establishment of regional risk pools that distribute exposure across multiple countries, the development of index-based insurance models that reduce claims processing costs, and the creation of government-backed reinsurance mechanisms to support market stability (Mahul & Stutley, 2010). Strengthening local actuarial research and data collection efforts will also be critical in improving risk assessment and pricing accuracy (Hazell et al., 2017).

This paper explores these issues in detail, analyzing the current state of tobacco insurance in Africa, assessing the barriers to reinsurance capacity, and proposing actionable solutions to enhance insurance coverage for tobacco farmers (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020). By addressing these challenges, the study aims to contribute to the development of a sustainable and resilient insurance market that supports the long-term viability of Africa's tobacco industry (Easterly,2001).

## 2.0 Literature Review

A comprehensive review of recent studies on agricultural and tobacco insurance is essential for understanding the existing challenges, opportunities, and theoretical frameworks guiding this field. This section examines the current landscape of agricultural insurance, with a focus on climate risks, market penetration challenges, and the role of reinsurance. Additionally, it explores the regulatory environment shaping the development of agricultural and tobacco insurance in Africa and how Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) concerns are influencing reinsurance capacity.

### 2.1. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework.

A robust theoretical framework is essential for understanding the complexities of agricultural and tobacco insurance within the broader context of risk management, reinsurance capacity, and regulatory intervention. This section draws from established economic and financial theories to elucidate the market dynamics influencing agricultural insurance adoption, particularly in high-risk sectors like tobacco.

#### *2.1.1. Risk Transfer and Insurance Market Theory.*

The classical risk transfer model, initially developed by Arrow (1963), posits that insurance markets function optimally when risks are distributed across a large, heterogeneous policyholder base. This risk-pooling mechanism ensures that individual losses are absorbed collectively, minimizing financial strain on insurers. However, in high-risk agricultural sectors like tobacco farming, the effectiveness of risk pooling diminishes due to adverse selection and correlated risks (Stiglitz, 2021). Climate variability, pest infestations, and price volatility further exacerbate this inefficiency, making traditional indemnity-based models less viable (Dercon, 2022).

Harrington and Niehaus (2003) expand on risk transfer principles by introducing the concept of market completeness, which argues that insurance markets function efficiently when a diverse range of risk-transfer instruments are available. However, incomplete markets—characterized by inadequate supply and information asymmetries—often lead to market failures. In the case of tobacco insurance, such market failures are exacerbated by ESG-driven underwriting restrictions, further limiting the risk transfer mechanisms available to farmers (Swiss Re, 2023).

The role of financial innovation in risk transfer has been increasingly emphasized in contemporary literature. Mahul and Stutley (2010) discuss the emergence of index-based insurance as an alternative to traditional

indemnity insurance, reducing moral hazard and administrative costs. Nonetheless, the efficacy of index-based models in tobacco farming remains debatable due to the sector's exposure to non-weather-related risks such as regulatory changes and shifting consumer demand (Biswal , & Bahinipati, 2022).

### *2.1.2. Reinsurance Capacity Theory.*

Reinsurance plays a critical role in stabilizing insurance markets, particularly for high-risk sectors. The Capacity Constraint Hypothesis (Cummins & Weiss, 2014) asserts that reinsurance capacity is primarily influenced by capital availability, regulatory frameworks, and the ability to diversify risk exposure across multiple sectors. When reinsurers face capital constraints or regulatory restrictions, they may withdraw from high-risk markets, reducing overall insurance capacity (Swiss Re, 2023; Munich Re, 2023).

The application of Markowitz's (1952) Portfolio Diversification Theory further explains why reinsurers exhibit reluctance toward tobacco insurance. The theory postulates that risk-averse investors, including reinsurers, seek to construct portfolios that minimize unsystematic risk through diversification. Tobacco insurance, characterized by its concentrated exposure to ESG compliance risks and regulatory uncertainty, contradicts optimal diversification strategies, leading to a systemic decline in available reinsurance coverage (Mugabe et al., 2022).

Empirical studies support this argument, demonstrating that reinsurers increasingly prioritize sectors aligned with sustainable finance principles (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022). Carter et al. (2023) further note that reinsurers are progressively integrating climate and social responsibility criteria into their underwriting policies, thereby reducing exposure to industries perceived as harmful, such as tobacco. This shift underscores the need for alternative reinsurance structures, including regional risk pools and state-backed reinsurance programs (Mahul et al., 2023).

### *2.1.3. Public Interest Theory of Regulation.*

The Public Interest Theory of Regulation (Posner, 1974) provides a framework for understanding government intervention in insurance markets. This theory suggests that regulatory measures should correct market inefficiencies, protect consumers, and promote social welfare. Agricultural insurance markets, particularly in Africa, often suffer from information asymmetries, high transaction costs, and limited access to financial services, justifying regulatory involvement (Dercon & Clarke, 2016).

Governments play a pivotal role in addressing these market failures through strategic policy interventions. Clarke & Mahul (2022) highlight that state-backed subsidies, premium support programs, and public-private partnerships can enhance insurance penetration by reducing cost barriers for farmers. In Zimbabwe, for instance, government-supported reinsurance schemes have been proposed to mitigate the withdrawal of global reinsurers from the tobacco sector, ensuring continued coverage for farmers despite ESG-driven market constraints (African Risk Capacity, 2023).

Another critical regulatory challenge lies in balancing consumer protection with industry growth. The Economic Theory of Regulation (Stigler, 1971) posits that industry stakeholders often influence regulatory frameworks to serve their interests. This dynamic is evident in agricultural insurance markets, where large insurers and reinsurers advocate for favorable regulatory provisions that either restrict competition or impose barriers to entry (Mahul

& Stutley, 2010). Consequently, achieving an optimal regulatory balance requires transparent policymaking processes that prioritize long-term market sustainability over short-term industry gains (World Bank, 2022).

## 2.2 Agricultural Insurance in Africa: Trends and Challenges.

### *2.2.1. Climate Risks and Agricultural Insurance Adoption*

Climate change has intensified the need for agricultural insurance, particularly in regions prone to extreme weather events. Studies by Hazell et al. (2021) and Jensen et al. (2022) highlight the increasing frequency and severity of droughts, floods, and pest outbreaks, making risk transfer mechanisms like insurance essential for safeguarding farmers' livelihoods. However, traditional indemnity-based insurance models struggle to address the high risks associated with climate change, leading to increased interest in index-based insurance products. The African Risk Capacity (Mugabe, & Musango,2024) emphasizes that index-based solutions can provide more efficient payouts based on climate triggers, reducing moral hazard and administrative costs. Furthermore, (Ndondo, 2023) highlights that integrating satellite data with climate modelling improves risk assessment, making index insurance more accurate and viable.

Despite these advancements, uptake of agricultural insurance remains low. Studies by Carter et al. (2023) indicate that smallholder farmers, who constitute over 80% of Africa's agricultural sector, remain particularly vulnerable due to their limited ability to afford premiums. Additionally, historical data analysis by Mahul & Stutley (2021) suggests that while insurance programs have been piloted in multiple African countries, their long-term sustainability depends on regulatory support and stable reinsurance capacity.

### *2.2.2 The Role of ESG Regulations in Tobacco Insurance.*

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations have become dominant factors in shaping global financial and insurance markets, significantly influencing reinsurance capacity and investment decisions (Swiss Re, 2023). ESG-driven frameworks, particularly those prioritizing climate action, health impact mitigation, and ethical investment, have led to increased divestment from industries deemed socially or environmentally harmful. Tobacco, given its well-documented health risks and its classification as a carbon-intensive crop, has been increasingly excluded from sustainable investment portfolios (Munich Re, 2023; UNEP FI, 2022). Consequently, many global reinsurers have adopted exclusionary policies, withdrawing support for tobacco insurance or imposing stringent underwriting conditions that render coverage economically unfeasible for both insurers and farmers (Lloyd's, 2022).

#### *2.2.2.1 ESG-Driven Market Failures in Tobacco Insurance*

ESG-aligned capital restrictions have contributed to a systemic market failure in tobacco insurance, particularly in developing economies where tobacco farming plays a crucial role in rural livelihoods and foreign exchange earnings (Mugabe et al., 2022). Studies indicate that major tobacco-producing regions in Africa, such as Zimbabwe, Malawi, and Tanzania, have experienced a sharp decline in insurance availability due to the increasing reluctance of global reinsurers to underwrite tobacco-related risks (Clarke & Mahul, 2022). This withdrawal has led to a significant reduction in insurance penetration rates, leaving smallholder tobacco farmers exposed to climate-related losses, pest outbreaks, and market fluctuations (World Bank, 2022).

Moreover, ESG regulations, while designed to promote sustainability, often fail to account for sectoral economic dependencies in the Global South. The World Economic Forum (2023) notes that the unilateral implementation

of ESG policies without consideration for economic transition mechanisms exacerbates financial exclusion for industries heavily dependent on external capital. This is particularly evident in agricultural insurance markets, where tobacco farmers are increasingly marginalized due to their classification as high-risk clients, despite their reliance on insurance as a critical risk mitigation tool (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022).

*2.2.2.2 Impact on Reinsurance Capacity and Risk-Sharing Mechanisms.*

The impact of ESG restrictions on tobacco insurance extends beyond primary insurers to reinsurance markets, where risk-sharing mechanisms are crucial for sustaining capacity. According to the Capacity Constraint Hypothesis (Cummins & Weiss, 2014), reinsurance availability is primarily driven by capital adequacy, regulatory constraints, and portfolio diversification. However, tobacco is increasingly seen as a concentration risk rather than a diversification opportunity, leading to a flight of capital from the sector (Markowitz, 1952). This results in reduced risk pooling opportunities, heightened insurance costs, and, ultimately, the contraction of insurance coverage for tobacco producers (Swiss Re, 2023).

Some scholars argue that the ESG-driven withdrawal of reinsurance support for tobacco farming constitutes a regulatory-induced market failure, necessitating targeted policy interventions (Stiglitz, 2021; Dercon, 2020). Governments in major tobacco-producing countries have responded by exploring alternative mechanisms to sustain reinsurance capacity, including the establishment of state-backed reinsurance pools and regional insurance consortia to mitigate capital flight (Mahul & Stutley, 2021). For example, in Zimbabwe, policymakers have proposed leveraging sovereign-backed insurance funds to support smallholder farmers affected by ESG-motivated reinsurance withdrawals (Chakunda, 2023).

*2.2.2.3 Towards a Balanced Approach: Sustainable Tobacco Insurance Models.*

While ESG frameworks have led to significant capital reallocation, scholars suggest that hybrid insurance models could provide a pathway for balancing sustainability concerns with the economic realities of tobacco-dependent economies (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022). These models integrate climate adaptation strategies, such as regenerative farming practices and carbon offset mechanisms, into underwriting frameworks to make tobacco farming more insurable. For instance, index-based insurance products that reward climate-smart agricultural practices while still providing coverage for weather-related losses have been proposed as a potential solution (Mahul et al., 2023).

Additionally, the Public Interest Theory of Regulation (Posner, 1974) supports the argument that state intervention is necessary when market forces alone fail to provide adequate risk transfer mechanisms. Policymakers could consider blended financing mechanisms, where public funds are used to de-risk private sector participation in tobacco insurance while simultaneously encouraging farmers to transition towards less ESG-sensitive crops through structured incentives (Clarke & Mahul, 2022).

*2.2.2.4 Reconciling ESG Compliance with Market Stability.*

The intersection of ESG regulations and tobacco insurance presents a complex regulatory challenge, requiring a nuanced approach that balances sustainability objectives with economic resilience. While the withdrawal of global reinsurance support aligns with broader ESG mandates, it has also intensified financial exclusion risks for tobacco-dependent economies, particularly in Africa. Addressing this challenge will require a multi-stakeholder

approach, including engagement between insurers, reinsurers, regulators, and policymakers to design market-compatible, ESG-aligned insurance solutions.

Future research should explore the feasibility of regional risk pools, public-private reinsurance partnerships, and climate-adaptive underwriting models as mechanisms to sustain insurance access for tobacco farmers while advancing global sustainability goals. The development of sector-specific ESG frameworks that accommodate economic transition pathways could serve as a middle ground between outright exclusion and sustainable risk management, ensuring that insurance markets remain both inclusive and resilient in the face of evolving regulatory landscapes (World Bank, 2023).

### *2.2.3 Barriers to Agricultural Insurance Penetration*

Despite the growing need for agricultural insurance, penetration rates remain low due to several barriers. Studies by Greatrex et al., 2020; Mahul & Stutley, 2021 identify challenges such as:

***High Premium Costs*:** The cost of insurance remains prohibitive for many smallholder farmers, limiting voluntary uptake. Research by Smith et al. (2022) suggests that subsidies or microinsurance models could bridge the affordability gap, but implementation remains uneven across the continent.

***Low Financial Literacy*:** Farmers often lack awareness of how insurance works, reducing participation rates. A study by McCarthy, Cavatassi, & Mabiso, (2023) suggests that targeted financial education programs significantly improve insurance adoption rates, particularly in rural areas where information asymmetry is highest.

***Distrust in Insurers**:* A study by Carter et al. (2023) notes that smallholder farmers perceive insurance as an additional financial burden rather than a risk management tool. The lack of trust stems from previous experiences with delayed or denied claims, necessitating greater transparency and regulatory oversight (Edwards, Caravaca, Rappeport , & Sperduti, 2024).

***Weak Distribution Networks*:** A lack of effective distribution channels prevents insurers from reaching remote agricultural communities. Research by Amoah, Anarfo, Abor, & Nellis (2024) highlights that mobile-based insurance platforms and community-driven insurance schemes have demonstrated success in overcoming this barrier.

### *2.2.4. Role of Government and Private Sector in Expanding Agricultural Insurance*

Governments and development agencies have been instrumental in subsidizing insurance premiums and integrating digital technologies for improved risk assessment (World bank 2022). The use of mobile technology and satellite data has enhanced access to index-based insurance, overcoming some of the structural barriers associated with traditional insurance models.

For example, in Kenya, the government's partnership with private insurers has expanded index-based insurance coverage through the Kilimo Salama program, a weather-based insurance scheme that compensates farmers for climate-related losses using automated weather station data (Montolalu, Imbiri, Peronika, & Natasya,2024).). Similarly, Ethiopia's agricultural insurance framework, supported by the Global Index Insurance Facility (GIIF), has improved insurance penetration among smallholder farmers (Amoah, Anarfo, Abor, & Nellis, 2024). These examples underscore the necessity of collaborative approaches in strengthening agricultural insurance frameworks**.**

### 2.3. Tobacco Insurance and Reinsurance Constraints

#### *2.3.1. Tobacco as a High-Risk Agricultural Product*

Tobacco farming faces unique risks, including climate variability, pest infestations, and market volatility. A study by Mugabe et al. (2022) emphasizes that these risks necessitate specialized insurance products. However, tobacco insurance has received less academic attention than other agricultural products due to the declining global focus on tobacco farming. Tobacco crops are particularly susceptible to pests such as *Heliothis virescens* (tobacco budworm) and weather-related losses (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020). The vulnerability of tobacco farming to climatic shocks further underscores the importance of customized insurance models (Bautista, Marker, Romero, Sharma, & Sommers,2024).

#### *2.3.2. ESG Policies and the Declining Availability of Reinsurance for Tobacco*

A critical challenge in tobacco insurance is the increasing reluctance of global reinsurers to underwrite tobacco-related risks. Fur Bildung,(2024) highlights the impact of ESG policies on reinsurance decisions, noting that tobacco is classified alongside fossil fuels as a high-risk industry. Ongyerth (2023)also discusses the withdrawal of major reinsurers from tobacco underwriting, creating a reinsurance gap that threatens the sustainability of tobacco insurance in Africa.

Additionally, a report by Fitch Ratings (2023) suggests that African insurers, facing limited reinsurance support, have had to increase their risk retention levels, making them more vulnerable to significant losses. This challenge is compounded by regulatory constraints in countries that discourage tobacco farming due to public health concerns (Nicholson et al, 2022). Given these challenges, alternative approaches to tobacco insurance are necessary to sustain the industry and protect farmers.

#### *2.3.3. Alternative Approaches to Addressing the Reinsurance Gap*

Several studies (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022) propose innovative mechanisms to address the declining availability of tobacco reinsurance:

***Regional Risk Pools:*** Establishing regional risk-sharing arrangements to spread exposure across multiple insurers. The African Risk Capacity suggests that pooling risks at a continental level can help mitigate localized losses and enhance the financial stability of insurance providers.

***Parametric and Index-Based Models*:** Tailoring insurance models to tobacco farming risks. Research by Clarke & Dercon (2022) demonstrates that index-based solutions, utilizing weather and satellite data, can improve claim efficiency and reduce costs.

***Government-Backed Reinsurance*:** State-supported reinsurance schemes to provide stability for local insurers. Case studies from China and Brazil indicate that government-backed initiatives can sustain insurance availability even when private reinsurers withdraw ((Bautista, Marker, Romero, Sharma, & Sommers,2024).

Given these insights, the development of innovative insurance models remains crucial for sustaining tobacco farming in Africa. By leveraging digital technology, public-private partnerships, and alternative risk-sharing mechanisms, African countries can ensure that tobacco farmers have access to financial protection against climatic and market-related shocks (Bautista, Marker, Romero, Sharma, & Sommers,2024).

## 2.4. Regulatory Environment for Agricultural and Tobacco Insurance

### *2.4.1. Market Failure and the Need for Government Intervention*

The concept of market failure is a fundamental economic principle that explains why government intervention is often necessary in markets where private insurers lack the capacity or incentive to provide affordable and sustainable coverage. The foundational work of Pigou (1932) established that externalities, asymmetric information, and imperfect competition often justify public sector intervention. In agricultural and tobacco insurance, market failures are particularly evident due to the high-risk nature of the insured assets, the unpredictability of climate-related disasters, and the withdrawal of private reinsurers from volatile sectors (Dercon, 2020; Clarke & Mahul, 2022).

Governments have historically played a pivotal role in stabilizing agricultural insurance markets by offering subsidies, establishing public-private partnerships, and implementing regulatory frameworks that facilitate insurer participation. For instance, the Indian government's Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY) has been instrumental in increasing smallholder farmer insurance penetration through premium subsidies and data-driven risk assessments (Gulati et al., 2021). In Africa, similar interventions have been undertaken by the African Risk Capacity (ARC), which pools risk at a continental level to enhance climate resilience through sovereign insurance schemes (Mahdi, Kinkoh , Soumahoro, & Mbiyozo, 2023). However, the effectiveness of such interventions varies depending on regulatory consistency, political will, and private sector engagement.

In the tobacco sector, government intervention is complicated by ethical concerns and ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) considerations. While some governments provide financial backing for tobacco insurance due to its economic significance, especially in countries like Zimbabwe and Malawi, global trends in sustainable finance discourage such support. Thus, alternative risk-sharing mechanisms, such as regional reinsurance pools and government-backed reinsurance schemes, are emerging as potential solutions (Mahul & Stutley, 2022).

### *2.4.2. Regulatory Barriers to Agricultural and Tobacco Insurance*

Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in shaping the agricultural and tobacco insurance markets, influencing insurer participation, pricing models, and the overall availability of reinsurance capacity. The Economic Theory of Regulation (Stigler, 1971) suggests that regulatory capture often results in policies that serve the interests of industry incumbents rather than fostering broader market development. This phenomenon is evident in African agricultural insurance, where regulatory inconsistencies hinder private sector engagement (Mahul & Stutley, 2010).

**Key regulatory barriers identified in the literature:**

***Licensing and Compliance Costs***: High regulatory costs serve as a significant deterrent for new insurers seeking to enter the market. Many African jurisdictions impose capital requirements that are unfeasible for smaller firms, limiting competition and innovation in the sector (Weltbank 2021).

***Lack of Standardization:*** The absence of harmonized insurance regulations across African markets impedes cross-border reinsurance arrangements. For instance, while South Africa has developed a relatively mature agricultural insurance market with regulatory clarity, countries such as Zambia and Mozambique still struggle with fragmented policies that discourage multinational reinsurers from participating (Greatrex et al., 2020).

***Restrictions on Foreign Reinsurers**:* Some African nations impose regulatory restrictions on foreign reinsurers, requiring them to establish local entities or cede a portion of their reinsurance premiums to domestic firms. While these measures aim to build local reinsurance capacity, they often deter global players from engaging with the market, exacerbating the existing reinsurance capacity gap (Swiss Re, 2023).

For tobacco insurance, regulatory barriers are further exacerbated by ESG-driven policies that discourage financial institutions from supporting the industry. Major reinsurers, such as Munich Re and Swiss Re, have publicly committed to withdrawing from tobacco-related underwriting, citing alignment with global sustainability goals (Munich Re, 2023). Consequently, tobacco-producing nations are forced to seek alternative reinsurance solutions, including state-backed risk pools and parametric insurance models (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022).

#### *2.4.3. ESG Regulations and Their Impact on Tobacco Insurance*

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations have increasingly influenced global insurance markets, reshaping underwriting criteria and risk appetite. While ESG policies aim to promote sustainable economic practices, they have inadvertently led to a decline in insurance and reinsurance availability for industries deemed environmentally harmful, including tobacco (Swiss Re, 2023).

A growing body of literature highlights the intersection of ESG regulations and insurance market dynamics (Aziz, & Alshdaifat, 2024; Rizzello,2022). Several key trends have emerged:

***Reinsurer Withdrawal from Tobacco Underwriting**:* Major global reinsurers have gradually exited the tobacco insurance market, aligning with investor demands for sustainable portfolio allocation. This withdrawal creates an insurance protection gap, particularly for smallholder tobacco farmers in Africa who lack alternative risk transfer mechanisms (Mahul et al., 2023).

***Regulatory Restrictions on Tobacco Investments**:* Financial regulations in the European Union and North America increasingly prohibit insurance firms from investing in tobacco-related businesses. This has a spillover effect on African tobacco insurance markets, as local insurers often rely on foreign reinsurers for capacity support (Weltbank 2021).

***Shifting Towards Sustainable Alternatives***: In response to ESG pressures, some insurers are promoting crop diversification initiatives, encouraging tobacco farmers to transition towards less controversial cash crops. However, this transition is not always economically viable, particularly in regions where tobacco remains a key export commodity (FAO, I., & UNICEF 2020).

As ESG frameworks become more stringent, the future of tobacco insurance will likely depend on innovative risk-sharing mechanisms, including parametric and index-based insurance models, as well as regional reinsurance collaborations (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022).

### 2.5. Conceptualizing Alternative Risk Transfer Mechanisms

Given the limitations of conventional insurance and reinsurance models in addressing the risk concentration challenges in tobacco farming, alternative risk transfer (ART) mechanisms have gained traction as viable solutions. ART mechanisms offer diversified strategies that enable risk-sharing among multiple stakeholders while

mitigating the effects of capital flight and regulatory constraints (Cummins & Weiss, 2014; Mahul et al., 2023). The literature identifies several innovative approaches:

### *2.5.1 Regional Risk Pools and Mutual Risk-Sharing Arrangements*

Regional risk pools, such as the African Risk Capacity (ARC) and Caribbean Catastrophe Risk Insurance Facility (CCRIF), demonstrate the potential for collaborative risk-sharing frameworks that distribute exposure across multiple national and private-sector participants (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022; Clarke & Mahul, 2022). These mechanisms operate by leveraging collective capital reserves and financial backstops from international organizations, thereby reducing the burden on individual insurers and reinsurers. For tobacco-producing economies, the establishment of sector-specific regional insurance pools could provide an avenue for sustaining insurance availability while reducing reliance on ESG-restricted global reinsurers (African Risk Capacity, 2023).

Moreover, mutual insurance models, where tobacco cooperatives pool resources to provide self-insurance, have been explored as viable ART alternatives (World Bank, 2022). These models have shown resilience in other high-risk agricultural sectors, such as livestock and fisheries, where traditional insurers have been reluctant to provide coverage due to adverse selection risks (Greatrex et al., 2020).

### *2.5.2 Parametric and Index-Based Insurance Models*

Innovations in climate modelling and remote sensing technologies have facilitated the development of parametric and index-based insurance products, which offer an objective, data-driven approach to underwriting agricultural risks (Carter et al., 2023). Unlike traditional indemnity-based insurance, parametric models rely on predefined triggers—such as rainfall levels, temperature variations, or drought indices—to activate payouts (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022).

For tobacco farming, parametric insurance offers the advantage of reducing claims processing times, minimizing moral hazard, and enhancing transparency in risk assessments. Empirical studies suggest that index-based insurance schemes have been successfully deployed in other cash-crop sectors, such as coffee and cocoa, to provide farmers with predictable and efficient financial protection against climate-related shocks (Greatrex et al., 2020). However, challenges remain in ensuring granular calibration of indices to reflect localized variations in risk exposure, particularly in heterogeneous agricultural environments (Carter et al., 2023).

### *2.5.3 Government-Backed Reinsurance and Sovereign Risk Transfer*

State intervention has historically played a critical role in stabilizing insurance markets when private sector participation declines. Government-backed reinsurance mechanisms, such as those implemented by India's Agricultural Insurance Company (AIC) and China's National Agricultural Insurance Scheme (NAIS), have been instrumental in maintaining coverage availability for high-risk agricultural sectors (Mahul et al., 2023).

For tobacco-producing nations facing ESG-induced insurance gaps, public-private reinsurance partnerships could serve as a transitional mechanism to sustain risk transfer capacity. Governments may act as reinsurers of last resort, either by capitalizing domestic reinsurance entities or by establishing subsidized reinsurance programs to counteract private-sector withdrawals (Clarke & Mahul, 2022).

Additionally, sovereign risk transfer solutions, such as catastrophe bonds and insurance-linked securities (ILS), provide innovative avenues for diversifying risk financing beyond conventional reinsurance markets (Swiss Re, 2023). By leveraging financial markets, tobacco-producing economies could issue agriculture-linked

catastrophe bonds, where payouts are triggered by adverse climate events affecting crop yields. Such financial instruments have gained prominence in disaster-prone regions as an alternative means of securing liquidity for post-disaster recovery and loss mitigation (Munich Re, 2023).

### 2.6 The Evolution of ESG Regulations and Their Impact on Insurance Markets

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) regulations have significantly influenced insurance markets, shaping underwriting decisions, investment strategies, and risk management frameworks. ESG considerations initially gained traction through responsible investing initiatives but have since evolved into stringent regulatory requirements imposed by governments, international bodies, and industry self-regulation (Geneva Association, 2023). The European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) exemplify frameworks that mandate insurers to assess and report their ESG-related exposures (Swiss Re, 2023).

For the tobacco industry, ESG regulations have led to increased restrictions on reinsurance availability, as major global reinsurers withdraw from underwriting tobacco-related risks due to environmental and health concerns (Munich Re, 2023). ESG-driven exclusions disproportionately affect developing economies, particularly those reliant on tobacco exports, such as Zimbabwe and Malawi (Mugabe et al., 2022). Consequently, there is a growing debate on whether ESG mandates should incorporate sector-specific economic dependencies and offer transitional insurance models to sustain livelihoods while meeting global sustainability objectives (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022).

### 2.7 The Role of Technology in Risk Assessment and Insurance Innovation

Technological advancements have revolutionized risk assessment and insurance provision, particularly in high-risk agricultural sectors. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and big data analytics has enhanced insurers' ability to assess climate and yield risks more accurately (Carter et al., 2023). Remote sensing and satellite imagery are increasingly being utilized for parametric insurance models, allowing for real-time tracking of agricultural conditions and automated claim processing (Greatrex et al., 2020).

Blockchain technology has also emerged as a transformative force in insurance, facilitating transparent and efficient transactions through smart contracts (Munich Re, 2023). These digital solutions are particularly beneficial in regions where traditional insurance penetration is low, as they minimize fraud, reduce administrative costs, and improve trust between insurers and policyholders (World Bank, 2022). In Africa, InsurTech firms such as Pula have pioneered mobile-based agricultural insurance solutions, demonstrating the potential of technology to bridge insurance gaps in high-risk sectors.

### 2.8 Comparative Analysis of Insurance Market Failures in Other High-Risk Sectors

The challenges faced by tobacco insurance markets are not unique but rather part of a broader trend of insurance withdrawals from high-risk industries. For instance, coal and fossil fuel industries have faced increasing exclusion from insurance markets due to climate risk concerns, leading to higher self-insurance rates and government-backed reinsurance initiatives. Similarly, pharmaceutical liability insurance has seen fluctuations in market participation due to regulatory uncertainties and litigation risks, affecting drug innovation and pricing structures (Bo, 2024).

Cyber insurance provides another pertinent example, as its rapid market evolution has outpaced traditional underwriting models, resulting in significant capacity constraints and reinsurance shortages (Cummins & Weiss, 2014). Lessons from these sectors indicate that regulatory interventions, alternative risk-sharing mechanisms, and technological innovations are essential in sustaining insurance market stability in high-risk industries (Clarke & Mahul, 2022).

### 2.9 Regional and Global Coordination for Agricultural Insurance Resilience

Given the reinsurance capacity constraints facing tobacco and other high-risk agricultural sectors, regional and global cooperation has become increasingly vital. Institutions such as the African Risk Capacity (ARC) and the World Bank's Global Index Insurance Facility (GIIF) have sought to develop sustainable insurance models that cater to vulnerable economies (African Risk Capacity, 2023). Collaborative risk pooling mechanisms, such as the Caribbean Catastrophe Risk Insurance Facility (CCRIF), provide examples of how sovereign risk-sharing can mitigate insurance gaps in climate-exposed sectors (Mahul et al., 2023).

Under the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), there is potential for harmonized insurance policies that facilitate intra-African risk-sharing and reinsurance collaboration (AfDB, 2023). By integrating regional insurance frameworks, policymakers can enhance market resilience, ensuring that ESG compliance does not disproportionately disadvantage economies dependent on high-risk industries.

### 2.10 The Economics of Risk Transfer: Reinsurance Pricing and Market Cycles

The withdrawal of reinsurers from high-risk sectors such as tobacco is partly driven by reinsurance pricing dynamics and market cycles. Reinsurance operates within cyclical hard and soft markets, influenced by capital availability, catastrophic loss events, and regulatory changes (Swiss Re, 2023). During hard markets, capacity constraints lead to higher reinsurance rates and stricter underwriting, while soft markets see increased competition and more favorable pricing conditions for cedants (AM Best, 2022).

Reinsurers also rely on portfolio diversification strategies to manage risk exposure (Markowitz, 1952). Tobacco, with its concentrated sectoral risk and ESG compliance challenges, becomes less attractive for global reinsurers seeking to balance their risk portfolios (Cummins & Weiss, 2014). Retrocession markets and alternative capital instruments, such as insurance-linked securities (ILS), offer potential avenues to stabilize reinsurance capacity in high-risk agricultural insurance (Geneva Association, 2023).

### 2.11. Summary of Theoretical Insights

The convergence of risk transfer theory, reinsurance capacity constraints, regulatory economics, and ESG-aligned financial policies provides a multi-dimensional framework for analyzing the challenges and opportunities in agricultural and tobacco insurance markets. Theoretical perspectives on market failures, regulatory interventions, and alternative risk transfer mechanisms collectively underscore the complexities involved in sustaining insurance availability in high-risk sectors.

#### *2.11.1 Market Failures and the Limitations of Traditional Risk Transfer Mechanisms*

Classical risk transfer models (Arrow, 1963) suggest that insurance markets function optimally when risks are pooled across a large, diversified policyholder base. However, in high-risk sectors such as tobacco, this model becomes inefficient due to the concentrated nature of risk and limited risk-spreading opportunities (Stiglitz,

2021). The systematic withdrawal of global reinsurers from tobacco insurance markets, largely driven by ESG compliance mandates (Swiss Re, 2023; Munich Re, 2023), exacerbates this inefficiency. This structural failure reinforces the necessity of alternative insurance mechanisms and state-backed interventions to ensure market stability.

### *2.11.2 Reinsurance Capacity Constraints and Capital Flight*

The Capacity Constraint Hypothesis (Cummins & Weiss, 2014) and Portfolio Diversification Theory (Markowitz, 1952) emphasize the significance of capital allocation and risk diversification in sustaining reinsurance markets. The exodus of global reinsurers from the tobacco sector, influenced by ESG-aligned capital restrictions, has led to significant reinsurance capacity constraints, rendering traditional reinsurance arrangements unviable (Mahul et al., 2023). These constraints have necessitated the exploration of innovative financial instruments such as structured reinsurance and hybrid risk-transfer mechanisms that balance profitability with regulatory compliance (Linnerooth-Bayer et al., 2022).

### *2.11.3.Regulatory Interventions and the Role of Public Policy*

The Public Interest Theory of Regulation (Posner, 1974) argues that government intervention is warranted to address market failures and sustain essential financial services. In the case of agricultural and tobacco insurance, regulatory frameworks play a critical role in mitigating the adverse effects of private-sector retrenchment. Government-backed reinsurance schemes (Clarke & Mahul, 2022) and regional risk-sharing initiatives (African Risk Capacity, 2023) have emerged as pivotal mechanisms to bridge the protection gap. Moreover, regulatory harmonization across African markets remains an essential enabler of cross-border reinsurance solutions (Mahul & Stutley, 2010).

### *2.11.4 Alternative Risk Transfer Models and Innovation in Agricultural Insurance*

Given the retreat of traditional insurers and reinsurers from tobacco insurance markets, alternative risk transfer models have gained traction. Parametric insurance, which relies on predefined triggers such as weather indices or yield thresholds, has demonstrated potential in offering efficient and transparent risk protection for agricultural stakeholders (Carter et al., 2023; Greatrex et al., 2020). Similarly, regional risk pools facilitate the distribution of losses across multiple markets, reducing individual balance sheet exposure and enhancing overall financial resilience (Mahul et al., 2023). Sovereign risk-transfer mechanisms, such as catastrophe bonds and insurance-linked securities (ILS), offer further avenues for sustaining agricultural insurance markets under ESG-compliant investment frameworks (Munich Re, 2023; Swiss Re, 2023).

## 2.12 Conclusion and Theoretical Implications

By synthesizing these perspectives, this study contributes to the growing discourse on sustainable risk financing solutions for high-risk agricultural markets. Theoretical insights highlight:

- The limitations of traditional risk-pooling mechanisms in sectors with concentrated exposure, necessitating hybrid risk-sharing models (Arrow, 1963; Stiglitz, 2021).
- The impact of reinsurance capacity constraints and ESG-induced capital flight on the availability of insurance for tobacco farmers, reinforcing the need for state-supported interventions (Cummins & Weiss, 2014; Markowitz, 1952).
- The critical role of regulatory interventions in sustaining market functionality, particularly through public-private partnerships and regional reinsurance initiatives (Posner, 1974; Clarke & Mahul, 2022).

- The growing relevance of alternative risk-transfer mechanisms, such as parametric insurance, regional risk pools, and sovereign risk instruments, as viable pathways for bridging the protection gap in ESG-sensitive industries (Carter et al., 2023; Munich Re, 2023).

## 3.0 Methodology

### 3.1. Research Design

A qualitative, desktop research approach is employed, focusing on the collection and analysis of secondary data. This method allows for an in-depth examination of regulatory frameworks, market dynamics, and reinsurance capacity constraints without conducting primary data collection such as surveys or interviews.

The research follows a comparative analytical framework, drawing insights from different African markets while benchmarking against global best practices.

### 3.2. Data Collection Methods

#### *3.2.1. Secondary Data Sources*

The study relies exclusively on existing data sources, including:

- Regulatory documents from African insurance commissions, including IPEC (Zimbabwe), IRA (Kenya), and NAICOM (Nigeria).
- Industry reports from reinsurance firms (e.g., Africa Re, Zep Re, Swiss Re) and regional insurance bodies (e.g., African Insurance Organisation, African Risk Capacity).
- Academic and policy literature on agricultural insurance, tobacco insurance, and the impact of ESG policies on insurance underwriting.
- Market data from insurers, reinsurers, and rating agencies assessing financial performance and reinsurance capacity.
- Comparative studies on regulatory frameworks, particularly those governing agricultural insurance in Africa versus global standards like Solvency II and NAFTA's agricultural insurance models.

### 3.3. Data Analysis Techniques

#### *3.3.1. Document and Policy Analysis*

A systematic review of regulatory frameworks, policy papers, and industry reports is conducted to assess:

- The effectiveness of existing regulations in facilitating agricultural and tobacco insurance.
- The impact of reinsurance constraints on market capacity and underwriting sustainability.
- The influence of ESG policies on tobacco insurance availability.

#### *3.3.2. Comparative Analysis*

The study compares African regulatory frameworks against:

- EU Solvency II and its implications for risk-based capital requirements.
- NAFTA agricultural insurance models, focusing on government-backed risk-sharing mechanisms.
- Best practices from leading global reinsurers in structuring sustainable agricultural and tobacco reinsurance programs.

#### *3.3.3. Thematic Analysis*

Key themes are extracted from the literature to identify:

- Regulatory gaps in agricultural and tobacco insurance.

- Challenges in reinsurance capacity and underwriting agricultural risks.
- Emerging trends in ESG-related exclusions in tobacco insurance markets.

### *3.4. Validity and Reliability Measures*

To ensure research rigor, the study applies:

- Source triangulation, cross-referencing data from multiple regulatory, industry, and academic sources.
- Comparative benchmarking, aligning African regulatory practices with global standards.
- Critical evaluation, ensuring objectivity in analyzing policy effectiveness**.**

### 3.5. Ethical Considerations

Since this is a desktop study relying on publicly available secondary data, ethical considerations include:

- Proper citation and acknowledgment of all data sources.
- Avoidance of misinterpretation of regulatory documents and industry reports.
- Compliance with academic integrity standards in data analysis and reporting**.**

## 4. Results and Practical Implications

This section presents the findings from the desktop research, with a focus on regulatory frameworks, reinsurance capacity, and the impact of ESG policies on agricultural and tobacco insurance in Africa. It also provides practical implications drawn from these findings to inform future actions by policymakers, insurers, reinsurers, and stakeholders involved in the development of agricultural and tobacco insurance markets.

### 4.1. Regulatory Landscape for Agricultural and Tobacco Insurance

#### *4.1.1. Agricultural Insurance Regulation in Africa*

The regulatory frameworks for agricultural insurance across African countries exhibit significant variation, with some countries, such as Kenya and South Africa, establishing structured agricultural insurance schemes supported by government subsidies and risk-sharing mechanisms (Swiss Re, 2023). However, many other countries, including Zimbabwe, lack clear regulatory guidelines, leading to low penetration rates and high underwriting volatility (African Risk Capacity, 2022).

***Practical Implication:***

The fragmented regulatory landscape calls for enhanced coordination and harmonization of insurance regulations across African countries. Policymakers should prioritize creating standardized regulatory frameworks that can facilitate cross-border risk-sharing and enhance the scalability of agricultural insurance. This will improve market liquidity, reduce volatility, and help attract more investments in the sector. Regional organizations such as the African Union and the African Insurance Organisation (AIO) should collaborate with national regulators to develop consistent policies that reduce barriers to entry and foster a more integrated African insurance market.

#### *4.1.2. Tobacco Insurance and ESG Compliance*

The study highlights that ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) concerns are increasingly influencing underwriting decisions, particularly in tobacco insurance. Global reinsurers, including Munich Re and Swiss Re, have withdrawn from providing coverage for tobacco-related risks due to sustainability concerns (Swiss Re, 2023). While regional reinsurers like Africa Re and Zep Re continue to support tobacco insurance, they are facing growing capital constraints and pressure from ESG-focused investors (Africa Re, 2023).

***Practical Implication:***

Incorporating ESG factors into the underwriting process is no longer optional but a regulatory necessity, especially in sectors like tobacco. African insurers and reinsurers must develop robust ESG policies that align with international sustainability standards while ensuring market growth. Governments should support insurers by offering incentives for adopting ESG-compliant practices, such as tax breaks or preferential treatment in public sector insurance procurement. In parallel, regulators should create frameworks that encourage transparent disclosure of ESG risks and exposures, enabling insurers to balance sustainability with profitability.

### 4.2. Reinsurance Capacity and Market Constraints

#### *4.2.1. Limited Reinsurance Capacity for Agricultural Risks*

A key finding from this study is that African reinsurers currently lack the capacity to absorb the high volume of agricultural risks, particularly those associated with severe weather events such as droughts and floods (African Risk Capacity, 2023). Governments have introduced sovereign risk pools, such as the African Risk Capacity (ARC), but participation remains low due to issues with premium affordability (World Bank, 2022).

***Practical Implication:***

To address the limited reinsurance capacity, African insurers must build stronger financial bases and develop innovative products tailored to the unique risks faced by the agricultural sector. One practical approach is the creation of regional reinsurance pools for agricultural risks. Governments and regulators should encourage investment in these pools, possibly through public-private partnerships (PPPs), to provide broader coverage and reduce reliance on international markets. Enhanced collaboration with global reinsurers will also help African markets attract the capital needed to meet the growing demand for agricultural insurance.

#### *4.2.2. Impact of High Reinsurance Ratios*

Many African insurance markets exhibit high reinsurance dependency ratios, which significantly limit local market retention and profitability. For example, in Tanzania, high reinsurance dependence has raised concerns about profitability for primary insurers, as much of the premium income is ceded abroad (TIRA 2023). Similarly, Zimbabwe's reinsurance market, despite regulatory mandates for risk retention, faces significant capitalization constraints, hindering its capacity to support large-scale agricultural programs (IPEC, 2023).

***Practical Implication:***

One of the immediate actions that regulators and reinsurers should take is to reduce the high dependency on external reinsurance markets. This can be achieved through strategic initiatives aimed at increasing local retention and strengthening the capacity of domestic reinsurers. Governments and regulators should incentivize the creation of locally backed reinsurance schemes, encouraging retention of more premium income within African markets. This would improve profitability, reduce foreign exchange exposure, and help stabilize the local insurance market.

### 4.3. Emerging Trends and Opportunities

#### *4.3.1. Use of Parametric Insurance Models*

Several African insurers are exploring parametric insurance as an alternative to traditional indemnity-based systems. Countries like Kenya, Ghana, and Ethiopia have successfully integrated parametric insurance models into government-backed schemes, improving claim settlement speed and reducing administrative costs (Swiss Re, 2023).

***Practical Implication:***

While parametric insurance models present a promising alternative, the challenge of basis risk (where payouts do not always align with actual farmer losses) remains. To mitigate this risk, insurers and governments should invest in better data collection technologies, such as weather stations and satellite monitoring, to improve the accuracy of the parameters used. Regulators can support this shift by providing clear guidelines on how parametric insurance products should be structured and marketed, ensuring their accessibility to both insurers and farmers.

### *4.3.2. Potential for Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)*

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have emerged as a key strategy for addressing the challenges of agricultural insurance in Africa. Programs like the African Risk Capacity (ARC) work with governments to offer sovereign drought insurance. However, the adoption of such schemes remains limited due to the challenge of premium financing (ARC, 2023).

***Practical Implication:***

Governments and insurers should explore innovative ways to finance agricultural insurance through PPPs, potentially co-financing large-scale programs that mitigate the risks faced by farmers. For instance, governments could subsidize premiums for low-income farmers, while private insurers provide the technical expertise in risk assessment and claims management. Multilateral institutions, such as the World Bank and the African Development Bank (AfDB), could play a pivotal role in facilitating these partnerships by offering financial support and technical assistance**.**

| 4.4. Summary of Key Findings | |
|---|---|
| | |
| Theme | Key Findings |
| Regulatory Gaps | Inconsistent regulations across African countries hinder the growth of agricultural and tobacco insurance, limiting market integration and scalability. |
| ESG Pressures | Global reinsurers are increasingly withdrawing from tobacco insurance due to ESG concerns, increasing local retention risks for African insurers. |
| Reinsurance Constraints | Limited capacity among African reinsurers forces heavy reliance on foreign reinsurers, exposing local markets to currency and pricing volatility. |
| High Reinsurance Ratios | Excessive premium cessions to foreign reinsurers result in weakened profitability for primary insurers, particularly in markets like Tanzania and Zimbabwe. |
| Parametric Solutions | Parametric insurance models are emerging as alternatives to traditional indemnity models, but basis risk remains a significant challenge. |
| Public-Private Partnerships | Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are underutilized but could play a key role in enhancing risk-sharing, particularly through sovereign risk pools like the African Risk Capacity (ARC). |

## 5.2. Final Remarks

The study provides a comprehensive overview of the current challenges and emerging opportunities within the agricultural and tobacco insurance markets in Africa. The findings underscore the need for regulatory harmonization, capacity-building in reinsurance, and innovative risk management solutions. The practical implications highlight actionable steps that can be taken by regulators, insurers, and policymakers to enhance market resilience and sustainability.

Future research should continue to explore successful real-world cases of regulatory reforms, public-private partnerships, and the implementation of parametric insurance solutions. This would further enrich the discourse on sustainable agricultural insurance models in Africa, with particular attention to how these strategies can be scaled and tailored to the unique needs of different regions across the continent.

## References

Amoah, L., Anarfo, E. B., Abor, J. T., & Nellis, J. G. (2024). *The Role of Regional Development Banks: Comparing African Development Bank and Asian Development Bank. In Perspectives on Development Banks in Africa:*

*Case Studies and Emerging Practices at the National and Regional Level (pp. 299-327)*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Arrow, K. (1963). *The Theory of Risk-bearing*. The American Economic Review.

Arrow, K. J. (1963). Th1e american. The American Economic Review, 53(5), 941-973.

Aziz, N. H. A., & Alshdaifat, S. M. (2024). ESG *Reporting: Impacts, Benefits and Challenges. In Sustainable Horizons for Business, Education, and Technology*: Interdisciplinary Insights (pp. 69-76). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore

Bautista, J. R., Marker, T. C., Romero, G. L., Sharma, I. V., & Sommers, P. M. (2024). *The Changing Geographical Distribution of WTO Disputes, 1995–2023*. Atlantic Economic Journal, 52(1), 53-55.

Benton, D., Hazell, J., & Hill, J. (2017). *The guide to the circular economy: capturing value and managing material risk*. Routledge.

Binswanger-Mkhize, H. (2012). *Risk, Insurance, and Rural Livelihoods in Africa: Challenges and Opportunities*. World Bank.

Biswal, D., & Bahinipati, C. S. (2022). *Why are farmers not insuring crops against risks in India?* A review. Progress in Disaster Science, 15, 100241.

Bo, N. S. W. (2024). *OECD digital education outlook 2023: Towards an effective education ecosystem*. Hungarian Educational Research Journal.

Bongaarts, J. (2021). FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2020. Transforming food systems for affordable healthy diets FAO, 2020, 320 p*.

Calliari, E., Castellari, S., Davis, M., Linnerooth-Bayer, J., Martin, J., Mysiak, J., ... & Zandersen, M. (2022*). Building climate resilience through nature-based solutions in Europe: A review of enabling knowledge, finance and governance frameworks*. Climate Risk Management, 37, 100450.

Carter, M., et al. (2017). *Agricultural Insurance and Rural Risk Management in Africa*. FAO.

Chakunda, V. (2023). *Rural and urban governance in Africa: the case of Zimbabwe*. In Handbook of Public Management in Africa (pp. 187-208). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Coetzee, C., Khoza, S., Nemakonde, L. D., Shoroma, L. B., Wentink, G. W., Nyirenda, M., ... & Van Niekerk, D. (2023). *Financing Disaster Risk Reduction: Exploring the Opportunities, Challenges, and Threats Within the Southern African Development Community Region*. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 14(3), 398-412.

Cole, S., Giné, X., Tobacman, J., Topalova, P., Townsend, R., & Vickery, J. (2013). *Barriers to household risk management: Evidence from India*. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 5(1), 104-135.

Coleman-Jensen, A., Rabbitt, M. P., Gregory, C. A., & Singh, A. (2022). *Household food security in the United States in 2021*.

Collard, D. (1981). AC Pigou, 1877–1959. *In Pioneers of modern economics in Britain (pp. 105-139)*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Cummins, D., & Weiss, M. (2014). *The Global Reinsurance Industry: Challenges and Opportunities*. Journal of Risk and Insurance.

Cummins, J. D., & Weiss, M. A. (2014). *Systemic risk and the US insurance sector*. Journal of Risk and insurance, 81(3), 489-528.

de Siqueira, J. H., Mtewa, A. G., & Fabriz, D. C. (2022). *United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). In International Conflict and Security Law*: A Research Handbook (pp. 761-777). The Hague: TMC Asser Press.

Easterly, W. (2001). *The effect of IMF and World Bank programmes on poverty (No. 2001/102)*. WIDER Discussion Paper.

Edwards Jr, D. B., Caravaca, A., Rappeport, A., & Sperduti, V. R. (2024*). World Bank influence on policy formation in education: a systematic review of the literature*. Review of Educational Research, 94(4), 584-622.
FAO, I., & UNICEF. (2020). WFP W. *Food Security and Nutrition in the World*. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 320.
Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Walsh, T., Lamb, W. F., Lamboll, R., Hauser, M., ... & Zhai, P. (2023). *Indicators of Global Climate Change 2022: annual update of large-scale indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence*. Earth System Science Data, 15(6), 2295-2327.
für Bildung, S. S. (2024). *Swiss Participation in the European Union's research and innovation programmes and initiatives. Facts and figures 2023:* State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation SERI.
Greatrex, H., Hansen, J., Garvin, S., Diro, R., Le Guen, M., Blakeley, S., ... & Osgood, D. (2015). *Scaling up index insurance for smallholder farmers*: Recent evidence and insights. CCAFS Report.
Hazell, P., & Hess, U. (2016). *Managing Risk in Agriculture:* An International Perspective. Routledge.
Johannsdottir, L. (2014). *The Geneva Association framework for climate change actions of insurers: a case study of Nordic insurers*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 75, 20-30.
Kramer, B., Hazell, P., Alderman, H., Ceballos, F., Kumar, N., & Timu, A. G. (2022). *Is agricultural insurance fulfilling its promise for the developing world? A review of recent evidence*. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 14(1), 291-311.
Louis, D. N., Perry, A., Wesseling, P., Brat, D. J., Cree, I. A., Figarella-Branger, D., ... & Ellison, D. W. (2021). The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Neuro-oncology, 23(8), 1231-1251.
Mahdi, M., Kinkoh, H., Soumahoro, M., & Mbiyozo, A. N. (2023). Will African Union efforts in 2023 be business as usual?. ISS Peace and Security Council Report, 2023(154), 7-9.
Mahul, O., & Stutley, C. J. (2010*). Government support to agricultural insurance: challenges and options for developing countries*. World Bank Publications.
Mapfumo, A., Mushunje, A., & Chidoko, C. (2012). *The impact of government agricultural expenditure on economic growth in Zimbabwe*.
Markosian, C., Baghdassarian, A., Best, A. M., Ghazaryan, H., Antonyan, L., & Libaridian, L. (2022). *Self-efficacy and knowledge in pediatrics among family medicine physicians in Armenia*: a survey study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 11(9), 5369-5374.
Markowitz, H. M. (1991). *Foundations of portfolio theory*. The journal of finance, 46(2), 469-477.
Mazodze, R., & Conlong, D. E. (2003). Eldana saccharina (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in sugarcane (Saccharum hybrids), sedge (Cyperus digitatus) and bulrush (Typha latifolia) in south-eastern Zimbabwe. In Proc. S. Afr. Sug. Technol. Ass (Vol. 77, pp. 266-274).
McCarthy, N., Cavatassi, R., & Mabiso, A. (2023). The IFAD Research Series has been initiated by the Strategy and Knowledge Department in order to bring together cutting-edge thinking and research on smallholder agriculture, rural development and related themes. As a global organization with an exclusive mandate to promote rural smallholder development, IFAD seeks to present diverse viewpoints from across the development arena in order to stimulate knowledge exchange, innovation, and commitment to investing in rural people.
Montolalu, I. J., Imbiri, T. F. B. M., Peronika, T., & Natasya, Y. P. (2024). THE ROLE OF THE WORLD FOOD PROGRAMME (WFP) IN THE FOOD CRISIS IN CHAD FOR THE PERIOD 2021-2023. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTI SCIENCE, 4(03), 13-20.
Mugabe, J. O., & Musango, J. K. (2024). Technology assessment in Africa: capacity needs and opportunities. In Handbook of Technology Assessment (pp. 228-236). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Munich Re. (2022). *Agricultural Insurance: Market Trends and Future Outlook*.
Ndondo, J. T. K. (2023). *Review of the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) Strategic Priorities on Food Safety 2023*. *In Food Safety-New Insights*. IntechOpen.
Nicholson, A. G., Tsao, M. S., Beasley, M. B., Borczuk, A. C., Brambilla, E., Cooper, W. A., ... & Travis, W. D. (2022). *The 2021 WHO classification of lung tumors: impact of advances since 2015*. Journal of Thoracic Oncology, 17(3), 362-387.
Ongyerth, J. (2023). *Implementation of Social Sustainability Regarding Youth at the European Championships* Munich 2022.
Posner, R. A. (1974). *Theories of economic regulation (No. w0041)*. National Bureau of Economic Research.
Ratings, F. (2023). Fitch Downgrades First Republic's IDR to'B'; Maintains Rating Watch Negative.
Rawat, D., Dixit, V., Gulati, S., Gulati, S., & Gulati, A. (2021). *Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on lifestyle behaviour: A review of studies published in India*. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome:* Clinical Research & Reviews, 15(1), 331-336.
Rizzello, A. (2022). *Green Finance and SDGs: Emerging Trends in the Design of Green Investment Portfolios*. *Green Investing: Changing Paradigms and Future Directions, 85-105*.
Stigler, S. M. (1971). *Optimal experimental design for polynomial regression*. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 66(334), 311-318.
Swiss Re. (2021). *Reinsurance in Emerging Markets: Challenges and Opportunities*.
Weltbank. (2021). World Bank East Asia and Pacific Economic Update, Spring 2021: Uneven Recovery. World Bank Publications.
WHO. (2021). *Global Tobacco Control Report*. World Health Organization.
Wilkinson, A., Ali, H., Bedford, J., Boonyabancha, S., Connolly, C., Conteh, A., ... & Whittaker, L. (2020). *Local response in health emergencies: key considerations for addressing the COVID-19 pandemic in informal urban settlements*. *Environment and urbanization, 095624782092284*.
Wright, C. Y., Kapwata, T., Naidoo, N., Asante, K. P., Arku, R. E., Cissé, G., ... & Berhane, K. (2024). *Climate Change and Human Health in Africa in Relation to Opportunities to Strengthen Mitigating Potential and Adaptive Capacity: Strategies to Inform an African "Brains Trust"*. *Annals of Global Health, 90(1)*.
Zimbabwe Tobacco Industry and Marketing Board. (2023). Tobacco Sector in Zimbabwe: Annual Report.